DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the

APR - 2 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION CONT. OF COME DAY

Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of

Revision of Part 22 and Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of Paging Systems

Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act --Competitive Bidding

Commiss.

WT Docket No. 96-18

PP Docket No. 93-253

To: The Commission

Reply Comments of Comp Comm, Inc. on the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Comp Comm, Inc. hereby replies to comments filed in response to the above captioned Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) released February 9, 1996.

No. of Capies rec'd

LISTARGDE

The formulas proposed in the NPRN for 929-931 MHz paging will adversely effect system operations and should be revised.

As expressed in the March 18, 1996 comments, service providers are opposed to implementing the formulas proposed in the NPRM for 929 MHz and 931 MHz paging. No comments had endorsed the formulas as proposed in the NPRM. On the contrary, many voiced caution that the formulas would drastically reduce contour boundaries, resulting in degraded service from relaxed interference protection criteria.1 NPRM formulas are based upon an assumed value for reliable service median field strength of 47 dBµV/m. This assumed value greatly underestimates the distance to the reliable service and interference contours.

929-931 MHz reliable service area should remain consistent with methods to determine reliable service area for other frequency bands.

Comp Comm had suggested the Commission develop formulas in accordance with the procedures previously used for lower

Paging, p. 3; Ameritech Mobile Services, pp. 24-27; American Paging, p. 3; Ameritech Mobile Services, pp. 2-7; Arch Communications Group and Westlink Licensee Corporation, pp. 12-14; AT&T Wireless Services, pp. 10-11; Jon D. Word Pioneer Telephone Cooperative, pp. 12-15; Liberty Cellular, pp. 3-5; Metrocall, Inc., p. 10; Mobile Telecommunication Technologies Corp., pp. 7-9; PageAmerica Group, pp. 1-2; PageMart, pp. 2-6; The Paging Coalition, pp. 10-15; Paging Network, pp. 11-15, 17-19, and attachment; Priority Communications, pp. 7-8; Pronet, pp. 15-17.

band common carrier paging (CCP) frequencies. In our comments, we suggested the following formulas be used in place of the ones proposed in the NPRM:

$$d_{Service(km)} = 0.46 \times haat_m^{0.48} \times erp_w^{0.30}$$

$$d_{Interference(km)} = 4.75 \times haat_m^{0.36} \times erp_w^{0.18}$$

These formulas are based upon a reliable service median field strength of 33.38 dB μ V/m. This value, as opposed to the one proposed in the NPRM, is derived from a receiver sensitivity of 0.35 μ V and applied probability theory to estimate 90% reliability. These methods are in accordance with the lower band reliable service calculations derived from the Carey report².

929-931 MHz protected service area should not be reduced.

The formulas proposed by Comp Comm will satisfy the concerns voiced in the comments regarding implementation of the NPRM formulas. As discussed above, many comments recognized the likely result of the NPRM formulas as degraded service from relaxed interference protection due to reduction of contour distances. The reduction of contour distances is due to the NPRM's 47 dBµV/m assumption of reliable service median field strength. Comp Comm had, in its comments, demonstrated a method to determine reliable service median

² See Carey Report, FCC Report No. R-6406.

median field strength was further endorsed by the technical comments of TCG³. Ray Trott compared the NPRM proposed formulas to the Okumura Hata propagation prediction model. His conclusions show the NPRM formulas underestimate signal propagation. We agree the NPRM formulas underestimate reliable service and interference contours, and offered the above formulas. As demonstrated graphically in Attachment 1, these formulas calculate greater contour distance values than the NPRM formulas, and consequently, increase interference protection.

See Declaration of Raymond C. Trott, P.E., of Trott Communications Group, Inc. (TCG), attached as Exhibit 1 to Comments of Metrocall, Inc. TCG specified a received signal level at receiver sensitivity of 5.0 μ V, or -93 dBm, for 95% reliability. This compares to the value recommended by Comp Comm of -97 dBm to be used as a basis of the formulas. Comp Comm used 0.35 μ V, or -115 dBm, for 50% reliability; assuming a normal distribution of receive probability, and converting from 50% to 95% reliability using $\sigma_{UHF} = 10.92 dB$, yields an equivalent value of -97 dBm.

Conclusion

The formulas suggested in this paper will offer greater interference protection to incumbents, conform to procedures for lower band CCP reliable service determination, and offer a more accurate prediction of reliable service and interference contours. For these reasons, Comp Comm believes the Commission should reconsider the 47 dBµV/m field strength service area requirement and the formula derived from it.

Respectfully submitted,

Comp Comm, Inc.

William Ciuffo

John Roussos John Sieber

Comp Comm, Inc.
One Echelon Plaza, Suite 100
227 Laurel Road
Voorhees, NJ 08043-2331
609.770.1234

Dated: April 2, 1996

Attachment 1



